

Quality Assurance Plan

ERASMUS+ Capacity Building in Higher Education Project

610458-EPP-1-2019-1-FR-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP IBRAIN.

	Quality Assurance Plan	2

"The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein."

	Quality Assurance Plan	3

Table of contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Quality management structure
- 3 Quality deliverables
- 4 Quality assurance procedures
- 5 Risk management

Annexes

- Annex I Table of Responsibilities
- Annex II Work Plan
- Annex III Logical Framework Matrix (LFM)

	Quality Assurance Plan	4
--	------------------------	---

1 – Introduction

The Quality Assurance Plan is developed in the framework of iBrain ERASMUS+ CBHE 610458-EPP-1-2019-1-FR-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP project Work Package 3 – Quality Control and Monitoring, setting out the quality assurance procedures for the project. It aims to assure that the results and deliverables of the project are of high quality and conform to the specifications set in the project outputs description. It should serve as an instrument of monitoring process towards achieving the project goals, at the same time to ensure increased sustainability and impact of the activities and project results. It is designed for both accountability and ongoing improvement.

The Quality Assurance Plan presents the key actors involved in the implementation of the quality assurance of the project, their relations and responsibilities. It describes the quality procedures established including deliverables. It focuses on the methodology to assess the project progress and quality of its achievements. The proposed quality sheme is continuous, thus allowing for solid project monitoring and handling of problems that may arise.

2 – Quality management structure

The quality assurance will be carried out by the leader institutions of WP 3 in collaboration with the Executive board and Advisory board. To ensure relevance of the Quality Assurance Plan, the quality assurance team should conduct quality reviews throughout the duration of the contract and when contractual changes occur.

WP 3 Leader institutions

	Quality Assurance Plan	5
--	------------------------	---

The leader institutions in charge of WP 3 Quality control and monitoring are ENS (project coordinator) and HSE. They will be responsible for:

- the implementation of the Quality Assurance Plan;
- the definition of indicators and monitoring procedures within the Quality Assurance Plan and evaluation according to the project's contract document;
- the design and presentation of quality assurance tools;
- the evaluation of the progress and success of indicators and the overall improvement in results.

Executive board/Management Committee

The Executive board is in charge of implementing and monitoring the project activities. It is formed by the coordinator and contact persons of all consortium members. The Executive board is appointed to:

- evaluate the progress of the IBRAIN programme development;
- collect the feedback from students, teaching staff and EU members of the consortium to improve the quality of education and management;
- collect the feedback from the Student board and Annual student meetings to improve the quality of education and management.

Advisory board

The Advisory board is the major board of the IBRAIN programme, comprising external experts. The Advisory Board is a consultative body established to provide guidance and advice to the Consortium. The members of the AB will provide independent opinion assist in reviewing the project's development, provide independent opinion on progress and

	Quality Assurance Plan	6	
--	------------------------	---	--

project performance and contribute to the dissemination and exploitation of the project.

The Advisory Board consists of 5 members. They will meet twice a year or as needed, and will have a strong role in ensuring integration of project results with other educational initiatives.

Konstantin Anokhin	Institute for Advanced Brain Research, Lomonosov Moscow State University	Director	INHA	https://www.msu.ru/info/struct/ brain.php
Suvarna Allad	NIMHANS, Bangalore, India	Professor	BITS	
Risto Ilmoniemi	Dept. of Neuroscience and Biomedical Engineering, Aalto University	Head of dept.	HSE	https://people.aalto.fi/ risto.ilmoniemi#cv
Narayanan Srinivasan	Department of Cognitive Science, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur	professor, head of Department	UoH	https://sites.google.com/site/ ammuns68
Therese Collins	Department of Basic and Biomedical Sciences of the University of Paris	Professor, co-director Cogmaster Program	ENS	http://therese.collins.free.fr/

3 – Quality deliverables

This section specifies the activities to be implemented in order to ensure that the project and its deliverables conform the quality requirements.

WP 3 is based on five main activities:

	Quality Assurance Plan	7]
--	------------------------	---	---

3.1. Peer review of the iBrain – Report

Written peer review of the IBRAIN's compliance with the European educational standards. Written peer review will be prepared on the basis of a two-day visit to ENS by members of another running Erasmus project (to be selected in 2021).

3.2. Internal assessment of QA activities – Annual report

The Executive Board will collect the feedback from students, teaching staff and EU members of the consortium to improve the quality of education and management.

3.3. External assessment of QA activities – Annual report

External experts will review the project activities and achievements. Survey will contain 5-points scale indicators of the following programme aspects:

- Quality of the courses
- Quality of the programme management
- Level of programme implementation

3.4. Peer review of teaching materials by EU partners – Annual report

Peer review of the IBRAIN's courses and teaching materials by EU partners for compliance with the European education standards. Peer review will be carried out by members of EU and PC HEI's continuously as the relevant materials are developed.

3.5. Conducting MSc' and PhD students' surveys – Annual report

The Executive Board will collect the feedback from the Student Board and Annual student meetings to improve the quality of education and management. The results of written examinations, annual student's reports and PhD theses will be sent for evaluation and quality control to

	Quality Assurance Plan	8
--	------------------------	---

the EU partner universities. Therefore, the European members of the consortium will monitor student's progress. This measure will also help to anticipate and resolve potential conflicts.

4 – Quality assurance procedures

The work plan of WP3 activities is presented in Annex I. The quality assurance team produces the necessary tools to follow the quality assurance of the project.

At the end of each project year, a panel of experts will evaluate the progress of the IBRAIN Programme development. The IBRAIN Programme study plan, its compliance with the European standards, ongoing activities and the indicators of LFM will be carefully examined. The project panel will consist of prominent academics and will include representatives of Scientific Councils of the partner universities and the IBRAIN Programme Executive Board.

Written evaluation surveys will be distributed among project participants and will be delivered to the administration of the participating Partners universities. Surveys will be discussed during annual project management meetings to implement necessary adjustments.

The quality control procedures will include evaluation surveys of students grading each course and lecturers, both internal opinions from EU experts, members of IBRAIN consortium and external peer-reviews of the curricular and optional intensive courses.

The quality of new courses will be estimated also according to evaluation forms distributed between students after each course, training and intensive school.

The results of written examinations, annual student reports and MSc theses will be sent for evaluation and quality control to the EU partner universities.

	Quality Assurance Plan	9	
--	------------------------	---	--

Internal Reporting:

PC HEIs will be required to provide internal reports after each project period on the achieved activities and deliverables according to the work plan, as well as deviations. These internal reports will be provided to the coordinator of the project and the EB/MC. Reports will be examined by the coordinator and approved by the EB/MC.

External Reporting:

Reports will by furnished to the NEO offices as requested. E.g. RF HEIs will complete and furnish reports as requested by the NEO Russia. Interim and final reports will be furnished as required to the EACEA. Report reviews will be discussed with the EB/MC and consulted on with the AB on a need-to-be basis.

Coordinating partner will nominate external experts to carry out the required audit at project completion.

5 – Risk management

The risks are presented at the Logical Framework Matrix (LFM) of the project (see Annex II).

All participating institutions are jointly responsible for identifying and addressing potential issues that may arise in the project. They must take preventive actions early in the project in order to avoid potential risks and difficulties during the implementation of the project. Regular communication among partners, follow-up and evaluation make prevention possible and thus diminish the risk of poor management and implementation.

They also have to initiate corrective actions when a problem occurs in order to minimize negative impacts. As such, it is important to

Quality Assurance Pla	0
-----------------------	---

communicate about it by discussing the issue during a meeting and by informing the project coordinator as soon as they aware of it.

	Quality Assurance Plan	11

Annexes

Annex I – Table of Responsibilities

WP1	Preparation	Leader(s): HSE, BITS
1.1	Preparation of the advanced courses for the IBRAIN Curriculum	All
1.2	Preparation of the course Academic Writing, Publishing and Presenting in English	AU, NU
1.3	Preparation of the study plans for research practice	All
1.4	Preparation of the courses block for Module 1 Cognitive Psychology and Human Neuroscience	HSE, SPSU BITS, UoH IHNA
1.5	Preparation of the courses block for Module 2 Computational models in Cognitive Sciences	ENS HSE, BITS, UoH
1.6	Preparation of the courses block for Module 3 Research methodology in Cognitive Sciences	BITS, UoH, SPSU, JSCN
1.7	Preparation of the courses block for Module 4 Advanced Neuroimaging	HSE, SPSU, IHNA, MITSAR
1.8	Preparation of the courses block for Module 5 Neuroscience Applications in Cognitive Studies	HSE, SPSU, UoH
WP2	Development	Leaders: HSE, UoH
2.1	Development of coherent model for Master's- Doctoral tracks in RF and India	all RF and IN
2.2	Development of educational standards for Cognitive Sciences	HSE, BITS INHA

	Quality Assurance Plan	12

		1
2.3	Development of procedures of assessment and enrolment of MSc and PhD students.	all RF and IN
2.4	Development of Electronic library shared between partners universities	SPSU
2.5	Regular Seminar – Frontiers in Brain and Cognitive Sciences	HSE, BITS
2.6	Intensive schools 'Neuroscience research approaches in Social and Behavioural Sciences'	HSE, SPSU, Mitsar, JSCN
2.7	Academic mobility	All
WP3	Quality Plan/Assessment	Leaders: ENS, IHNA
3.1	Peer review of the IBRAIN	ENS
3.2	Internal assessment of QA activities	EU partners
3.3	External assessment of QA activities	AB/ IHNA
3.4	Peer review of teaching materials by EU partners	ENS
3.5	Conducting MSc' and PhD students' surveys	EU partners
WP4	Dissemination	Leaders: SPSU, ENS, FA
4.1	Design and maintenance of the project WEB site	SPSU, ENS BITS
4.2	Round table on impacts of the IBRAIN Curriculum	HSE, NU, JSCN
4.3	Dissemination meetings	HSE, BITS, Mitsar, JSCN

	Quality Assurance Plan	13

4.4	Publishing course materials	RF partners
WP5	Management	Leaders: ENS, HSE
5.1	Development of the IBRAIN management	ENS
5.2	Project management meetings	ENS
5.3	Everyday management and accounting	All
5.4	Marketing activities of the new IBRAIN Curriculum	HSE, FA
5.5	Organisation of information sessions	FA, UoH

	Quality Assurance Plan	14

Annex II – Work Plan

		Wor	Work Plan											
	Activities	Alumber of								-				
Sub-ref. nr	Title	weeks	ž	M2 M3		M4 M5	MS	βĥ	M7	8 M	β	M9 M10 M11 M12	411	M12
		Ye	Year 1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	
3.2	Internal assessment of QA activities	4												
с С	External assessment of QA activities	2												
3,4	Peer review of teaching materials by EU partners	10												
		Y	Year 2									к. Т.		
3,1	Peer review of the iBrain	4												
3.2	Internal assessment of QA activities	4												
3.3 3	External assessment of QA activities	4												
3,4	Peer review of teaching materials by EU partners	9												
3.5	Conducting MSc' and PhD students' surveys	00												
		Å	Year 3											
3.2	Internal assessment of QA activities	4												
3,3	External assessment of QA activities	4												
9.4	Peer review of teaching materials by EU partners	9												
3.5	Conducting MSc' and PhD students' surveys	00												

Activity carried out in the Programme Country Activity carried out in the Partner Country

	Quality Assurance Plan	15	
--	------------------------	----	--

Annex III – Logical Framework Matrix - LFM

	Logical F	ramework Matrix –	LFM
Wider	Indicators of	How indicators	
Objective:	progress:	will be	
What is the	What are the	measured:	
general	key indicators	What are the	
objective, to	related to the	sources of	
which the	wider	information on	
project will	objective?	these indicators?	
contribute?	1.	1. Developed	
To create a	Accreditatio	educational	
New	n of the	standards of	
Curriculum	Curriculum	Master's/Doct	
of the	the	oral direct	
Integrated	Integrated	track in	
Track in	Track in	Cognitive	
Brain and	Brain and	Sciences at RF	
Cognitive	Cognitive	and India	
Sciences/	Sciences/	consortium	
IBRAIN	IBRAIN.	HEIs by 2021	
	2. Official	2. Official	
	inclusion of	inclusion of	
	brain and	individual set	
	cognitive	of new courses	
	science	in the	
	courses in	programmes of	
	the	Masters and	
	curricular of	Doctoral	
	Master	Curricular	
	programmes	related to the	
	in RF and	specific needs	
	India	of the each	
		from PC's	
	3. Growing	HEIs.	
	number of		
	applications	3. Official	
	and,	reports about	
	consequentl	the increased	

	Quality Assurance Plan	16

	 y, students enrolled in the Master's programmes of PC' HEIs T willing to continue their academic career at a doctoral level. 4. Growing number of MSc from RF and India applying to the PhD programmes in the Consortium HEIs 	numbers of applications from MSc and PhD students enrolled in IBRAIN Consortium HEIS		
Specific Project	Indicators of	How indicators will be	Assumptions & risks	How the risks will
Objective/s:	progress: What are the	measured:	What are	be
What are the	quantitative	What are the	the	mitigated:
specific	and qualitative	sources of	factors	
objectives,	indicators	information that	and	
which the	showing	exist and can be	conditi	
project shall	whether and to	collected? What	ons	
achieve?	what extent the	are the methods	not	
1. To	project's	required to get	under	
develop new	specific	this information?	the	
Curriculum	objectives are	1. Study Plans	direct	
of the	achieved?	and Courses'	contro	
Master's-	1. New	annotation	<i>l of the</i>	

Doctoral	Study Plans	provided at the	project	
tracks in	for coherent	HEI webpages	,	
brain and	MSc-PhD	and readily	which	
cognitive	studies in	available for	are	
sciences	coordinatio	the students	necess	
based on the	n of the	and the staff	ary to	
specific	IBRAIN	members.	achiev	
needs of	activities		e these	
Partners'	with the	2. Number of	objecti	
HEIs	administrati	teaching,	ves?	
	on plans.	learning and	What	
2. To	1	training	risks	
improve a	2. 19	materials of	have	
quality,	new/update	new/updated	to be	
internalisatio	d courses in	courses.	consid	
n and	English	Number of	ered?	
multidiscipli	accepted by	Master's	1. No risk as	
nary	Partner	dissertations in	RF and India	3. The risk
contents of	institutions	the field of	HEIs	can be
Master's	and	Cognitive	administration	compen
education	assimilated	Sciences	declared their	sated by
for further	in Curricula	enhanced by	willingness to	the
enrolment of	of HEI's	human	undertake the	higher
Master's	MSc and	neuroscience	necessary	internati
students at	PhD	component.	transformation	onal
the PhD	programmes	I	to obtain the	demand
level in any	programmes	3. Study plans	model in	s and
partner	•	of the Doctoral	Direct Track	coopera
university of	3.	programmes of	of Higher	tion in
IBRAIN	Increasing	PCs' partners.	education.	Social
consortium	number of	Number of	cuucation.	and
consortium	multidiscipl	research	2. Here one	Behavio
3. To	inary PhD	articles in RF	should take	ural
develop the	studies	and India. All	the	Science
innovative	performed	information	effectiveness	s both
and up-to-	at higher	will be	of the	in RF
date content	internationa	published at	programmes	and
of MSc and	1 research	the	measured by	India
PhD	standards	Programmes'	the local HEI	HEIs
programmes	due to	webpages.	administration	
by bringing	improved	meopuges.		4. This
by bringing	impiorea		as a factor not	1. 1115

	Quality Assurance Plan	18
--	------------------------	----

··· 1	1	4 NT 1 C	1 1' /	• 1
cutting-edge	education	4. Number of	under direct	risk can
educational	and	multidisciplina	control of the	be
and research	research	ry Master's	IBRAIN.	overco
methodologi	methodolog	dissertations in	However, so	me with
es of EU to	y starting	RF and India.	far we observe	the help
the PCs	from MSc	Number of	direct	of EU
Universities.	level.	research	correspondenc	experts
		articles	e of	and
4. To	4.	published by	innovative	mutual
improve the	Increasing	the MSc	trends of PCs	learning
coherence	number of	students. MSc	HEIs'	agreem
and	English-	dissertations	administration	ents
continuity of	spelling	will be	s and	
education	MSc	published at	IBRAIN:	
between the	dissertations	the HEI and	Internationalis	
MSc and	as well as	the project	ation	
PhD levels.	and quality	website.	including	
	of which	Number of	English	
5. To	will be	MSc	language as a	
improve	successfully	applications to	main language	
flow on	continued at	the PhD	of all studies,	
information	PhD level.	programme of	dissertations	
between		the track.	etc.	
Consortium	5. IBRAIN		acceleration of	
HEIs.	Webpages	5. HEIs' links	publications	
	including:	to the IBRAIN	activity,	
6. To	database of	Webpages.	innovativeness	
increase	courses and	The IBRAIN	and readiness	
academic	research	website traffic	for	
mobility	projects; the	statistics.	applications.	
between PCs	MSc and		So, no Risk is	
and EU by	PhD studies	6.Number of	envisaged	
the	topics.	inward and	here.	
international	1	outward	nere.	
isation of the	6. Monthly	mobility flows	3. Different	
educational	Seminars in	between the	specialisation	
programme	in Brain and	Consortium	profiles in	
adding the	Cognitive	members.	PhD education	
advanced	Sciences	Number of	of RF	
courses/prac	and 3	meetings,	Partners' HEIs	
tical	annual	trainings, and		
		damings, and	may present a	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i	Ì	
trainings	Intensive	schools.	minor and	
developed	schools in	Number of	indirect risk.	
together	new brain	PCs-PCs flow		
with EU	research	to intensive	4. No major	
expertise a	approaches	schools,	factors or	
taught fully	in cognitive	number of EU-	conditions not	
in English,	sciences	PCs flows to	under direct	
along with	taught fully	seminars,	control. No	
intensive	in English;	number of	major risks.	
practical	practical	PCs-EU flows	Minor risks	
placements	placements	for practical	can be still	
of lecturers	of MSc and	placements.	associated	
and students	PhD		with the	
in EU	students at	7. Evaluation	willingness of	
Partners'	EU	surveys will be	the local HEI	
Universities.	partners'	published	academic staff	
	side in the	annually at	to manipulate	
7. To	frame of	website along	the level of	
introduce	individual	with guidelines	the	
new	study plans;	for evaluation	Master&Doct	
principles of	short-term	and	oral coherence	
quality	practical	assessments.	based on the	
control	trainings of	Evaluations of	research	
based on	PCs	courses and	priorities.	
international	academic	schools will be		
peer-review	staff in EU	published after	5. No factor or	
and	Partners	each event.	conditions can	
assessing the	Universities		be not under	
quality of			direct control.	
education by			No risks.	
bringing in	7. Regular			
the external	internal and		6.	
expertise.	external		Corresponden	
	evaluation		ce of the	
	surveys and		IBRAIN	
	peer-review		working plan	
	of the		with the local	
	project.		Legislations.	
			No risk is	
			envisaged so	
			far. We	

	Quality Assurance Plan	20

			 assume a full cooperation of international departments and, administration entities of the Consortium members 7. No factor or conditions can be not under direct control. No risks. 	
Outputs	Indicators of	How indicators	Assumptions &	How the
(tangible) and	progress:	will be	risks	risks will
Outcomes	What are the	measured:	What external	be
(intangible):	indicators to	What are the	factors and	mitigated:
Please provide	measure	sources of	conditions must	1. To
the list of	whether and to	information on	be realised to	underta
concrete	what extent the	these indicators?	obtain the	ke this
DELIVERABL	project	1. Number of	expected	risk we
<i>ES</i> -	achieves the	courses and	outcomes and	develop
outputs/outcom	envisaged	teaching	results on	a full
es (grouped in	results and	materials	schedule?	coopera
Work	effects?	published at	1. All external	tion of
packages),	1. Teaching	the HEIs	factors and	minister
leading to the	and training	websites	conditions are	ial and
specific	materials of) Lourshing	expected to be	local
objective/s.:	courses	2. Launching the MSc	under control.	PCs'
WP1 –	published at		Minor risks	adminis
PREP1	the HEIs	programmes with new	are associated	trations
Preparation	websites. 19		with the	in 1
of	courses	curriculum in RF HEIs in	regency of the	building
curriculum	assimilated	2020. Number	ministerial	up a
for	in PCs HEIs		decrees of RF	sustaina
integrated		of trainings	on the three-	ble

	Quality Assurance Plan	21	
--	------------------------	----	--

Master's-	study plans.	and seminars	level	model
Doctoral	stary promot	conducted by	education and	of
track in	2.	the	the	direct
Brain and	Programme	consortium,	innovativeness	track.
Cognitive	materials	number of	of the direct	
Sciences	and	mobility flows.	track	2.
IBRAIN:	coherent	Number of	approach.	Coordin
Curriculum	timetable	courses given	Nevertheless,	ation
consisting of	for	in English.	we assume	with the
5 modules	Master's-	Library	full	local
with	Doctoral	statistics	cooperation of	and
different	tracks	(Source:	ministerial	minister
specialisatio	published at	programme	and local PCs'	ial
n of	the website	secretary).	administration	authorit
advanced	and	Number of	s in building	ies and
courses.	approved by	conducted web	up a	attestati
	all members	seminars, the	sustainable	on
WP2 –	of the	number of	model of	committ
DEV1	consortium;	lectures	direct track	ees of
Developmen	new	available in		PCs'
t of	educational	internet	2. Minor risk	HEIs
Master's-	standards of	database.	is a difference	could
Doctoral	direct		between RF	be the
direct track,	tracks;	3. Number of	and India	conditio
which will	mechanisms	reports of	exciting	ns
be based at:	of	Programme	curricular and	under
the	enrolment	Evaluation,	their Work	which
curriculum	and	quality	plans	the
of advanced	transition	assessment,		IBRAI
courses in	students	courses	3. We assume	N
modern	from MSc	evaluation by	an interest	activitie
neurotechnol	to PhD	students and	from other PC	S
ogy and	levels of	report of peer	universities in	ideally
cognitive	education;	review of	the	have to
sciences,	electronic	Programme.	modernisation	be
coherent	library for	4 337 1 1	of PhD	fulfilled
timetables,	direct	4. Website	education	•
shared	tracks;	statistics.	curriculum.	2 W ·
electronic	increasing	Number of	4. The	3. We aim
library, new	number of	disseminating	4. The external	to
standards of	academic	meetings	external	dissemi

	Quality Assurance Plan	22
--	------------------------	----

education	mobility	(workshops);	conditions and	nate the
linking MS	flows;	number of	factors here	results
and PhD	consistent	conferences	could be	of the
levels, new	attendance	promoting the	stability of	project
procedures	of IBRAIN	IBRAIN	interest in PCs	across
of	seminars	achievements.	to the	PC
assessment	and	Number of	innovations in	universi
and	intensive	students and	Social and	ties
enrolment of	schools.	specialists	Behavioural	outside
MSc and		enrolled to the	Sciences, and	the
PhD	3.	Master's-	particularly	Project.
students;	Continues	Doctoral track	Psychology.	
monthly	quality-	in IBRAIN.	Neither	
seminars and	monitoring	Reports on	external	
annual	scheme	financial	factors nor	
intensive	allowing	sustainability.	conditions	
schools;	adjusting		could	
practical	the working	5. Percentage	influence the	
placements;	plan and	of planned	fulfilment of	
increasing	updating	activities	this WP on	
academic	courses;	carried out	schedule.	
mobility.	analysis of	efficiently and		
	internal and	according to	5. No risks	
WP3 - QP1	external	schedule.	here as no	
Quality	evaluation	Number of	external	
control and	surveys;	project	factors and	
monitoring:	peer-review	management	conditions that	
New	of the	meetings	could	
principles of	project.		influence the	
quality			on schedule	
control	4. Growing		performance.	
based on	interest of			
international	academic			
peer-review	society to			
and	the			
assessing the	presentation			
quality of	events of			
education by	IBRAIN (3			
bringing in	disseminati			
the external	on			
expertise.	meetings,			

|--|

Disseminati on of the project results across PC universities outside the Consortium via the IBRAIN and institutional websites, 3 disseminatio n meetings and round table on impact of IBRAIN; Exploitation of the results for the further sustainabilit y of the projects. WP5 – Management : the management scheme and clear matrix of responsibiliti es for all members of the consortium.	seminars; 3 intensive schools); continuous interest to the IBRAIN in 2019- 2021; greater involvement of PC administrati on; co- financing from PCs funds. 5. Efficient project managemen t based on collective decisions of Managemen t Board, Executive Board and Advisory Board.		
consortium.	Inputs:	Assumptions &	How the

	Quality Assurance Plan	24
--	------------------------	----

What are the	What inputs	risks	risks will
key activities to	are required to	What pre-	be
be carried out	implement	conditions are	mitigated:
(grouped in	these	required before	8
Work	activities, e.g.	the project	
packages) and	staff time,	starts? What	
in what	equipment,	conditions	
sequence in	<i>mobilities</i> ,	outside the	
order to	publications	project's direct	1 117
produce the	etc.?	control have to	1. We
expected	1. Staff time	be present for the	assure
results?	of teachers	implementation	these risks
1. WP1:	for	of the planned	by
Preparation	preparation	activities?	inclusion
of the	of courses-	1. We assume an	of PCs
advanced	22 days for	interest of PC	manageme
courses and	cat.1, 815	universities in	nt staff in
study plans	days for cat.	the	the
for research	2; 84 days	modernisatio	consortium
practice for	for cat. 3	n of	. The
the IBRAIN	and 72 for	education in	developme nt of
Curriculum	cat. 4; staff	Social and	nt of courses for
(act	mobility:	Behavioural	the
1,3,4,5,6,7	EU-PCs	Sciences. We	curriculum
and 8)	flows (34),	assume a	of
	PCs-EU	growing	IBRAIN
2. WP4.	flows (9)	interest of	will be
Developme	PC-PC flow	PCs	guided by
nt, design		academics	institutiona
and	2. EU-PCs	and students	
maintenanc	flows (9)	in IBRAIN.	governmen
e of project	for	A small risk	t.
WEB site	consultatio	of a conflict	l.
	n meetings	with current	
3. WP2.	on the	educational	
Developme	curriculum	practice.	
nt of	developme	There is a	
Master's-	nt;	moderate risk	
Doctoral	Equipment	of a	
direct track	for courses	resistance of	
	preparation		

	Quality Assurance Plan	25	
--	------------------------	----	--

(act 1.2 and	(accomputara	PCs	
(act 1,2 and 2)	(computers		
3)	, laptops,	university	2 11/2
	copy	management	3. We
4. WP2:	machines,	to support	assume
Regular	projectors,	new	close
seminars of	office and	standards	cooperatio
IBRAIN	educational	suggested by	n of PC
(act. 5)	software);	the	universitie
	Publication	programme.	s and
5. WP2:	s on web,	2. No risks	institutions
Intensive	printing	3. There is a	in
schools	course	moderate risk	developing
'New	materials.	of	the
neuroscienc	3. Staff time -	inconsistency	coherent
e research	20 days for	in education	curriculum
approaches	Cat.3, and	curriculums	of
in SBS'	10 for	in cognitive	IBRAIN
(act. 6)	Cat.4 in	and natural	programm
	HSE.	sciences.	e.
6. WP2:	4. Staff time	4. We assume	4. We
Academic	for	cooperation	shedule a
mobility	developme	between PCs	timetable
(act. 7)	nt of the	and EU	for
Practical	coherent	partners to	seminars
placements	timetable	organize	in
of students	and	regular	cooperatin
and	educational	seminars	betwenn
academic	standards	5. We assume	all Parner
staff in	for	commitment	Countries
laboratories	Master's-	of PCs and	HEIS and
of the	Doctoral	EU partners	plan to
consortium	track (10	to provide	make all
members	days for	intensive	seminars
members	cat.1, 156 -	schools.	available
7. WP1:	cat.2, 22	6. There is a	online
Course:	days –cat.3	considerable	5. We plan
Academic	and 93	risk of	to use co-
Writing,	days in	difficulties	financing
Publishing	cat.4; Staff	with	and
and	mobility:	including 1	national
allu	moonity.		national

	Quality Assurance Plan	26
--	------------------------	----

Presenting	EU-PCs	mon		in
in English	flows (4),	prac		to
(act.2)	PCs-EU	perio		the
	(6), PC-PC		vidual school	
8. WP3.	flows (4).		plans. organisa	atio
Quality	5. Staff time	7. No ris	sk n.	
control and	for	8. We as	ssume a 6.	We
monitoring	organisatio	1 1	eration assume	
(act 1-5):	n of		embers commit	me
peer-review	seminars	of ar	nother nt	of
of the	(in PC 35	runn	ing partners	to to
project,	days -cat.2,	Eras	mus plus provide	
external and	6-for cat.3,	proje	ect in the individu	ıal
in internal	17 days for	cont	rol of the practica	1
QA, review	cat.4; staff	prog	ramme training	s
of teaching	mobility:	qual	ity. for	
materials by	EU-PC	9. There	is a students	5
EU	flows (22),	mod	erate risk and staf	f.
members,	PC-PC	of a		
conducting	flows (13).	com	petition 8. no ris	sk
MSc and	5. Staff time	with	other	
PhD	for	grou	ps	
surveys	organisatio	sugg	esting	
	n of the	plan	s of	
9. WP4. Round	schools	simi	lar 9. We p	lan
table on	and	refor	rms. a	
impacts of	developing	10. We a	assume a cons	sta
IBRAIN	the school	1 1	eration nt	
programme	programme	of Pe	C HEIs coop	per
(act.2),	: 272 days	adm	inistratio ation	
disseminati	-cat.2, 19	n and	d with	ı
on meetings	days –cat.3	teacl	ning staff PC	
(act.3) and	and 29	in	man	ag
publishing	days in	mana	agement eme	nt,
course	cat.4; Staff	of th	e project. Scie	enti
materials	mobility:		fic	
(act.4)	EU-PC		Cou	nci
	flows (20),		ls ar	nd
10. WP5	PC-PC		Rect	tor
Management	flows (38).		s. W	/e

	Quality Assurance Plan	27	
--	------------------------	----	--

of the	Student	assume
project (act.	mobility	high
1-5).	PC-PC	interest
Developmen	flows (43)	of the
t of the	for	PC
IBRAIN		
	participatio	manag
management	n in the	ement
, Project	schools.	in the
management	Printing	IBRAI
meetings,	schools'	Ν
Everyday	materials.	progres
management	6. Staff time –	s in
and	310 days	integra
accounting,	for cat.2;	tion of
Marketing	11 days –	brain
activities of	for cat.3,	and
new	31 day for	cogniti
IBRAIN	cat.4,	ve
programme,	students	science
Organisation	mobility 31	s.
of	PC-EU	10. We
informationa	flows, staff	invite
1 sessions	mobility:	all
	30 PC-EU	local
	flows, 4	key
	PC-PC	acade
	flows	mic
	7. Staff time	staff
	for	and
	preparing	student
	the course	board
	and	at the
	lecturing	project
	twice for	manag
	the project	ement
	period (10	meetin
	days- cat.1,	gs.
	20 days –	0
	cat.2, 0 –	
	cat.3, 3-	

	Quality Assurance Plan	28
--	------------------------	----

cat.4).		
Staff		
mobility:		
EU-PC		
flows (4) .		
8. Staff time:		
10 for		
cat.1, 189–		
cat.2, 95		
days for		
cat.4; sub-		
contract for		
peer-		
review.		
9. Staff time:		
10 days for		
Cat. 1, 90		
days for		
cat.2, 64		
days for		
cat.4; staff		
mobility 26		
PC-PC		
flows, 14		
EU-PC		
flows; co-		
financing		
printing		
costs.		
10. Staff time		
for		
everyday		
managemen t and		
organisation of the		
project 30		
days for		
cat.1, 35		
days for cat.		

	Quality Assurance Plan	29

[1
	2; 0 days		
	for cat. 3		
	and 775 for		
	cat. 4; staff		
	mobility:		
	EU-PCs		
	flows (39),		
	PCs-EU		
	flows (16)		
	PC-PC		
	flows (66)		

	Quality Assurance Plan	30